Isomorphisms, automorphisms and torsion units of integral group rings of finite groups – a survey

Wolfgang Kimmerle

Universität Stuttgart Fachbereich Mathematik

Groups and their actions Levico Terme June 3rd 2024 The talk is divided into four sections.

- 1) Isomorphisms
- 2) Automorphisms
- 3) Torsion elements
- 4) Sylow like theorems

The talk is divided into four sections.

- 1) Isomorphisms
- 2) Automorphisms
- 3) Torsion elements
- 4) Sylow like theorems

The last subsections in section 3 and 4 (marked by **) have not or only partially been presented - due to time reasons.

G finite group

- G finite group
- RG group ring of G over the commutative ring R

- G finite group
- RG group ring of G over the commutative ring R
- U(RG) group of units of RG

- G finite group
- RG group ring of G over the commutative ring R
- U(RG) group of units of RG
- V(RG) group of normalized units of RG, i.e.

$$\mathbf{V}(RG) = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} u_g g \in \mathbf{U}(RG) : \sum_{g \in G} u_g = 1 \right\}$$

In other words, $\mathrm{V}(RG)$ consists of the units of augmentation 1.

A basic question concerning group rings is the following.

Which properties of G are determined by its group ring RG?

A basic question concerning group rings is the following.

Which properties of G are determined by its group ring RG?

This question may be investigated with respect to each coefficient ring R.

In this talk integral group rings $\mathbb{Z}G$ are considered.

Moreover G denotes a finite group, if not other stated.

A basic question concerning group rings is the following.

Which properties of G are determined by its group ring RG?

This question may be investigated with respect to each coefficient ring R.

In this talk integral group rings $\mathbb{Z}G$ are considered.

Moreover G denotes a finite group, if not other stated.

Because G lives naturally in the units of RG, $\mathbb{Z}G$ rsp. it is natural to expect answers to the basic question if the unit group $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is considered. There the normalized units $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$, i.e. the units of augmentation 1 contain all informations.

• Units of the form $g \in G$ are called trival units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$.

- Units of the form $g \in G$ are called trival units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$.
- Central torsion units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are trivial units.

- Units of the form $g \in G$ are called trival units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$.
- Central torsion units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are trivial units.
- The order of a torsion subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ divides |G|.

- Units of the form $g \in G$ are called trival units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$.
- Central torsion units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are trivial units.
- The order of a torsion subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ divides |G|.
- A torsion subgroup H of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is called a group basis if it consists of linearly independent elements and generates $\mathbb{Z}G$ as ring. In this situation we write $\mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}H$.

- Units of the form $g \in G$ are called trival units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$.
- Central torsion units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are trivial units.
- The order of a torsion subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ divides |G|.
- A torsion subgroup H of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is called a group basis if it consists of linearly independent elements and generates $\mathbb{Z}G$ as ring. In this situation we write $\mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}H$.
- H is a group basis iff |H| = |G|.

- Units of the form $g \in G$ are called trival units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$.
- Central torsion units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are trivial units.
- The order of a torsion subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ divides |G|.
- A torsion subgroup H of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is called a group basis if it consists of linearly independent elements and generates $\mathbb{Z}G$ as ring. In this situation we write $\mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}H$.
- H is a group basis iff |H| = |G|.
- If H is a group basis of $\mathbb{Z}G$ and $h \in H$. Then the sum over the conjugates of h in H is called a class sum.

The class sums of a group basis form a \mathbb{Z} - basis of the centre of $\mathbb{Z}G$.

- Units of the form $g \in G$ are called trival units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$.
- Central torsion units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are trivial units.
- The order of a torsion subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ divides |G|.
- A torsion subgroup H of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is called a group basis if it consists of linearly independent elements and generates $\mathbb{Z}G$ as ring. In this situation we write $\mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}H$.
- H is a group basis iff |H| = |G|.
- If H is a group basis of ZG and h∈ H. Then the sum over the conjugates of h in H is called a class sum.

The class sums of a group basis form a \mathbb{Z} - basis of the centre of $\mathbb{Z}G$.

• If H and G are group bases then their class sums coincide. The correspondence is compatible with the power map on the classes.

Most of these fundamental facts are due to G. Higman (1940) and S. D. Berman (1953). The last one is due to G. Glauberman and D.S.Passman. .

$$\mathbf{IP} \quad \mathbb{Z}G \cong \mathbb{Z}H \Longrightarrow G \cong H?$$

IP $\mathbb{Z}G \cong \mathbb{Z}H \Longrightarrow G \cong H?$

IP appears first in G.Higman's thesis 1940.

IP $\mathbb{Z}G \cong \mathbb{Z}H \Longrightarrow G \cong H?$

IP appears first in G.Higman's thesis 1940.

It has been again formulated by R.Brauer in his lectures on modern mathematics (Problem 2*) 1963.

$\mathbf{IP} \quad \mathbb{Z}G \cong \mathbb{Z}H \Longrightarrow G \cong H?$

IP appears first in G.Higman's thesis 1940.

It has been again formulated by R.Brauer in his lectures on modern mathematics (Problem 2*) 1963.

H.Zassenhaus stated three conjectures on torsion subgroups of $\mathbb{Z} G$ in the seventies of the last century.

The second one, denoted by **ZP2**, says that all group bases of $\mathbb{Z}G$ are conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$. This provides a strong positive answer to IP.

 IP has an affirmative answer for

• *G* abelian (G.Higman 1940)

 IP has an affirmative answer for

- *G* abelian (G.Higman 1940)
- G metabelian (A.Whitcomb 1968)

 IP has an affirmative answer for

- *G* abelian (G.Higman 1940)
- G metabelian (A.Whitcomb 1968)
- G a p-group , G nilpotent (K.W.Roggenkamp-L.L.Scott 1987, A.Weiss 1987)

 IP has an affirmative answer for

- G abelian (G.Higman 1940)
- G metabelian (A.Whitcomb 1968)
- G a p-group , G nilpotent (K.W.Roggenkamp-L.L.Scott 1987, A.Weiss 1987)

 ZG determines the chief series of G.
In particular all group bases of ZG have the same composition factors. (Ki., R.Lyons, R.Sandling, D.Teague 1990)
Among other it follows that IP is valid for simple groups and their automorphism groups.

On the way to a counterexample to IP

The normalizer problem NP is the question whether in the units of a group ring a group basis X is normalized only by the obvious units, i.e. by central units and by X.

On the way to a counterexample to IP

The normalizer problem NP is the question whether in the units of a group ring a group basis X is normalized only by the obvious units, i.e. by central units and by X.

1995 M.Mazur discovered for the semidirect product $X = G \cdot C_{\infty}$ of a finite group G with the infinite cyclic group C_{∞} – acting on G via an automorphism τ of G – a connection between the normalizer problem and isomorphisms of group rings. Denote such a semidirect prduct by X_{τ} then

$$RX_{\tau} \cong RX_{id}$$

provided no prime divisor of |G| is invertible in the commutative ring R and τ is given by conjugation with a unit normalizing G in RG,

whileas

$$X_{\tau} \cong X$$

iff τ is an inner automorphism of G.

K.W.Roggenkamp and A.Zimmermann constructed for a group ring RG with semilocal coefficient ring

$$R = \mathbb{Z}_{\pi(G)} = \bigcap_{p \in \pi(G)} \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ and } |G| = 2^7 \cdot r^2 \cdot q^2 \text{ with odd primes } r \neq q,$$

a counterexample to the normalizer problem (published also 1995).

K.W.Roggenkamp and A.Zimmermann constructed for a group ring RG with semilocal coefficient ring

$$R = \mathbb{Z}_{\pi(G)} = \bigcap_{p \in \pi(G)} \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ and } |G| = 2^7 \cdot r^2 \cdot q^2 \text{ with odd primes } r \neq q,$$

a counterexample to the normalizer problem (published also 1995). Here $\pi(G)$ denotes the set of primes dividing |G| and \mathbb{Z}_p is the local subring of \mathbb{Q} in which all primes except p are invertible.

K.W.Roggenkamp and A.Zimmermann constructed for a group ring RG with semilocal coefficient ring

$$R = \mathbb{Z}_{\pi(G)} = \bigcap_{p \in \pi(G)} \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ and } |G| = 2^7 \cdot r^2 \cdot q^2 \text{ with odd primes } r \neq q,$$

a counterexample to the normalizer problem (published also 1995). Here $\pi(G)$ denotes the set of primes dividing |G| and \mathbb{Z}_p is the local subring of \mathbb{Q} in which all primes except p are invertible.

So by Mazur's construction this yields a counterexample to the isomorphism problem for $R(G\cdot C_\infty)$

K.W.Roggenkamp and A.Zimmermann constructed for a group ring RG with semilocal coefficient ring

$$R = \mathbb{Z}_{\pi(G)} = \bigcap_{p \in \pi(G)} \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ and } |G| = 2^7 \cdot r^2 \cdot q^2 \text{ with odd primes } r \neq q,$$

a counterexample to the normalizer problem (published also 1995). Here $\pi(G)$ denotes the set of primes dividing |G| and \mathbb{Z}_p is the local subring of \mathbb{Q} in which all primes except p are invertible.

So by Mazur's construction this yields a counterexample to the isomorphism problem for $R(G\cdot C_\infty)$

but this is not a counterexample to NP for $\mathbb{Z}G$ (M.Hertweck 1997).

The Counterexample

The counterexample to IP for $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and a finite group G has been constructed by M.Hertweck in his thesis 1997 (published 2001). The group G has order

 $2^{21} \cdot 97^{28}$

and is 4 - step abelian.

The Counterexample

The counterexample to IP for $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and a finite group G has been constructed by M.Hertweck in his thesis 1997 (published 2001). The group G has order

 $2^{21}\cdot 97^{28}$

and is 4 - step abelian.

It has a normal metabelian Sylow 97 - subgroup Q, with Fitting subgroup $F(G) = Q \times C_P(Q)$, where P denotes a Sylow 2 - subgroup, G/F(G) is metabelian of order 2^{10} .

The Counterexample

The counterexample to IP for $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and a finite group G has been constructed by M.Hertweck in his thesis 1997 (published 2001). The group G has order

 $2^{21}\cdot 97^{28}$

and is 4 - step abelian.

It has a normal metabelian Sylow 97 - subgroup Q, with Fitting subgroup $F(G) = Q \times C_P(Q)$, where P denotes a Sylow 2 - subgroup, G/F(G) is metabelian of order 2^{10} .

Remark. Hertweck constructs - as first step for his counterexample to IP - a counterexample to NP for integral group rings. By Mazur's result this yields a counterexample to IP for integral groups of infinite groups. For the counterexample for IP for $\mathbb{Z}G$ with finite G Hertweck uses several nontrivial modifications of Mazur's construction.

It is unknown whether NP necessarily plays a role for a counterexample to IP.

Automorphisms, Notations and Definitions

Aut \mathbb{Z} G = ring automorphisms of \mathbb{Z} G.

 $Aut_n\mathbb{Z}G=$ ring automorphisms which preserve augmentation, also called normalized automorphisms.

Let X be a group basis of $\mathbb{Z}G.$ Then $\tau\in AutX$ induces uniquely a normalized ring automorphism also denoted by τ

 $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{Z} {\rm G}$ is called central, if it fixes the centre of $\mathbb{Z} {\rm G}$ elementwise.

Automorphisms, Notations and Definitions

Aut \mathbb{Z} G = ring automorphisms of \mathbb{Z} G.

 ${\rm Aut}_n \mathbb{Z} G = {\sf ring}$ automorphisms which preserve augmentation, also called normalized automorphisms.

Let X be a group basis of $\mathbb{Z}G.$ Then $\tau\in AutX$ induces uniquely a normalized ring automorphism also denoted by τ

 $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}\mathbb{Z} \mathrm{G}$ is called central, if it fixes the centre of $\mathbb{Z} G$ elementwise.

If for each group basis X of $\mathbb{Z}G$ each $\sigma \in Aut_n\mathbb{Z}G$ is the product of a group automorphism of X and a central automorphism of $Aut\mathbb{Z}G$ then we say that

AUT holds for $\mathbb{Z}G$.
Automorphisms, Notations and Definitions

Aut $\mathbb{Z}G$ = ring automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}G$.

 ${\rm Aut}_n \mathbb{Z} G = {\sf ring}$ automorphisms which preserve augmentation, also called normalized automorphisms.

Let X be a group basis of $\mathbb{Z}G.$ Then $\tau\in AutX$ induces uniquely a normalized ring automorphism also denoted by τ

 $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}\mathbb{Z}G$ is called central, if it fixes the centre of $\mathbb{Z}G$ elementwise.

If for each group basis X of $\mathbb{Z}G$ each $\sigma \in Aut_n\mathbb{Z}G$ is the product of a group automorphism of X and a central automorphism of $Aut\mathbb{Z}G$ then we say that

AUT holds for $\mathbb{Z}G$.

Note

$$ZP2 \implies AUT$$

 $ZP2 \iff AUT + IP.$

ZP2 and therefore AUT holds for the following classes of finite groups.

• Nilpotent groups (Roggenkamp-Scott, Weiss 1989)

- Nilpotent groups (Roggenkamp-Scott, Weiss 1989)
- Symmetric groups (G.Peterson 1976)

- Nilpotent groups (Roggenkamp-Scott, Weiss 1989)
- Symmetric groups (G.Peterson 1976)
- PSL(2, p) (F.Bleher, G.Hiss, Ki. 1995)

- Nilpotent groups (Roggenkamp-Scott, Weiss 1989)
- Symmetric groups (G.Peterson 1976)
- PSL(2, p) (F.Bleher, G.Hiss, Ki. 1995)
- 18 of the 26 sporadic simple groups (F.Bleher, Ki. 2000)

- Nilpotent groups (Roggenkamp-Scott, Weiss 1989)
- Symmetric groups (G.Peterson 1976)
- PSL(2, p) (F.Bleher, G.Hiss, Ki. 1995)
- 18 of the 26 sporadic simple groups (F.Bleher, Ki. 2000)
- Finite simple groups of Lie type of small rank and all finite simple groups with abelian Sylow subgroups (F.Bleher 1999)
- Finite Coxeter groups (F.Bleher, M.Geck, Ki. 1997)

However counterexamples to AUT have been constructed by K.W.Roggenkamp and L.L.Scott (1988), L.Klingler (1991), P.F.Blanchard and M.Hertweck. The smallest ones have order 96 and are due to P.F.Blanchard (1997) and M.Hertweck (2003).

The most important positive result is the following

However counterexamples to AUT have been constructed by K.W.Roggenkamp and L.L.Scott (1988), L.Klingler (1991), P.F.Blanchard and M.Hertweck. The smallest ones have order 96 and are due to P.F.Blanchard (1997) and M.Hertweck (2003).

The most important positive result is the following

F* - Theorem, Roggenkamp-Scott 1988

Assume that G has a normal p - subgroup N with $C_G(N) \subset N$ then AUT is valid for $\mathbb{Z}G$.

However counterexamples to AUT have been constructed by K.W.Roggenkamp and L.L.Scott (1988), L.Klingler (1991), P.F.Blanchard and M.Hertweck. The smallest ones have order 96 and are due to P.F.Blanchard (1997) and M.Hertweck (2003).

The most important positive result is the following

F* - Theorem, Roggenkamp-Scott 1988

Assume that G has a normal p - subgroup N with $C_G(N) \subset N$ then AUT is valid for $\mathbb{Z}G$.

The condition $C_G(N) \subset N$ is equivalent to that the generalized Fitting subgroup $F^*(G)$ is a p - group. Thus the name.

However counterexamples to AUT have been constructed by K.W.Roggenkamp and L.L.Scott (1988), L.Klingler (1991), P.F.Blanchard and M.Hertweck. The smallest ones have order 96 and are due to P.F.Blanchard (1997) and M.Hertweck (2003).

The most important positive result is the following

F* - Theorem, Roggenkamp-Scott 1988

Assume that G has a normal p - subgroup N with $C_G(N) \subset N$ then AUT is valid for $\mathbb{Z}G$.

The condition $C_G(N) \subset N$ is equivalent to that the generalized Fitting subgroup $F^*(G)$ is a p - group. Thus the name.

Roggenkamp and Scott did not publish a complete proof of the F^* - theorem. In the mean time a complete proof of it may be puzzled together out of a series of papers (2002-2016) of M.Hertweck, one of them jt. with me.

However counterexamples to AUT have been constructed by K.W.Roggenkamp and L.L.Scott (1988), L.Klingler (1991), P.F.Blanchard and M.Hertweck. The smallest ones have order 96 and are due to P.F.Blanchard (1997) and M.Hertweck (2003).

The most important positive result is the following

F* - Theorem, Roggenkamp-Scott 1988

Assume that G has a normal p - subgroup N with $C_G(N) \subset N$ then AUT is valid for $\mathbb{Z}G$.

The condition $C_G(N) \subset N$ is equivalent to that the generalized Fitting subgroup $F^*(G)$ is a p - group. Thus the name.

Roggenkamp and Scott did not publish a complete proof of the F^* - theorem. In the mean time a complete proof of it may be puzzled together out of a series of papers (2002-2016) of M.Hertweck, one of them jt. with me.

A summary is given in

M.Hertweck, Units of p - power order in principal blocks of p - constrained groups, J.of Alg. **464**, (2016) 348-356.

$G\times G$ - argument

AUT is not really weaker than ZP2.

$G\times G$ - argument

AUT is not really weaker than ZP2.

Proposition (Ki. 1987)

Let C be a class of finite groups closed under direct products. Assume that AUT holds for $\mathbb{Z}G$ for every $G \in C$. Then ZP2 holds for every $G \in C$.

The condition that $F^*(G)$ is a *p*-group is closed under direct products. That for a group basis X of $\mathbb{Z}G$ the generalized Fitting subgroup $F^*(X)$ is a *p*-group if, and only if, $F^*(G)$ is a *p* - group follows from the fact that group bases have the same normal subgroup lattice and corresponding normal subgroups have the same order.

$G\times G$ - argument

AUT is not really weaker than ZP2.

Proposition (Ki. 1987)

Let C be a class of finite groups closed under direct products. Assume that AUT holds for $\mathbb{Z}G$ for every $G \in C$. Then ZP2 holds for every $G \in C$.

The condition that $F^*(G)$ is a *p*-group is closed under direct products. That for a group basis X of $\mathbb{Z}G$ the generalized Fitting subgroup $F^*(X)$ is a *p*-group if, and only if, $F^*(G)$ is a *p* - group follows from the fact that group bases have the same normal subgroup lattice and corresponding normal subgroups have the same order. Thus the $G \times G$ - argument may be applied.

Theorem

ZP2 holds for $\mathbb{Z}G$ provided $F^*(G)$ is a p - group.

IP is "almost "true

Corollary 1

Let G be an arbitrary finite group then ZP2 (and thus also the isomorphism problem IP) has a positive answer for the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}(F_pG \cdot G)$ of the semidirect product $F_pG \cdot G$.

Here ${\cal F}_p G$ denotes the additive group of the modular group ring and G acts just by multiplication on it.

IP is "almost "true

Corollary 1

Let G be an arbitrary finite group then ZP2 (and thus also the isomorphism problem IP) has a positive answer for the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}(F_pG \cdot G)$ of the semidirect product $F_pG \cdot G$.

Here ${\cal F}_p G$ denotes the additive group of the modular group ring and G acts just by multiplication on it.

Corollary 2 Let *G* be an arbitrary finite group then

 $\mathbb{Z}(F_pG \cdot G) \cong \mathbb{Z}(F_pH \cdot H) \Longrightarrow G \cong H.$

IP is "almost "true

Corollary 1

Let G be an arbitrary finite group then ZP2 (and thus also the isomorphism problem IP) has a positive answer for the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}(F_pG \cdot G)$ of the semidirect product $F_pG \cdot G$.

Here ${\cal F}_p G$ denotes the additive group of the modular group ring and G acts just by multiplication on it.

Corollary 2

Let G be an arbitrary finite group then

 $\mathbb{Z}(F_pG \cdot G) \cong \mathbb{Z}(F_pH \cdot H) \Longrightarrow G \cong H.$

Note that $\mathbb{Z}G$ is a subring and a quotient of $\mathbb{Z}(F_pG \cdot G)$. A group basis H of $\mathbb{Z}G$ sits in a group basis of $\mathbb{Z}(F_pG \cdot G)$ if, and only if, $H \cong G$.

Applications of the F^* - theorem

Theorem (Ki.1991)

Suppose that G/F(G) is abelian. Then IP holds for G and Sylow subgroups of group bases are conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$. In particular IP holds for supersoluble groups.

With similar methods it follows that IP is valid for

- Nilpotent-by- abelian p group -by- abelian p' group. (Hertweck 1992)
- Frobenius or 2-Frobenius groups (Ki. 1991).

ZP1 1974

Every torsion unit of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a trivial unit.

ZP1 1974

Every torsion unit of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a trivial unit.

ZP2

Every group basis of $\mathbb{Z}G$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a subgroup of G.

ZP1 1974

Every torsion unit of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a trivial unit.

ZP2

Every group basis of $\mathbb{Z}G$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a subgroup of G.

ZP3

Every finite subgroup $H \leq V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a subgroup of G.

ZP1 1974

Every torsion unit of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a trivial unit.

ZP2

Every group basis of $\mathbb{Z}G$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a subgroup of G.

ZP3

Every finite subgroup $H \leq V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a subgroup of G.

K. W. Roggenkamp and L. L. Scott constructed the first counterexample to AUT 1988 and thus one to ZP2 and ZP3.

ZP1 1974

Every torsion unit of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a trivial unit.

ZP2

Every group basis of $\mathbb{Z}G$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a subgroup of G.

ZP3

Every finite subgroup $H \leq V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a subgroup of G.

K. W. Roggenkamp and L. L. Scott constructed the first counterexample to AUT 1988 and thus one to ZP2 and ZP3. Hertweck's counterexample to IP even shows that conjugacy cannot be replaced by isomorphism.

ZP1 1974

Every torsion unit of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a trivial unit.

ZP2

Every group basis of $\mathbb{Z}G$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a subgroup of G.

ZP3

Every finite subgroup $H \leq V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a subgroup of G.

K. W. Roggenkamp and L. L. Scott constructed the first counterexample to AUT 1988 and thus one to ZP2 and ZP3. Hertweck's counterexample to IP even shows that conjugacy cannot be replaced by isomorphism.

Theorem F.Eisele and L-Margolis (2018)

There is a metabelian group G of order $2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 19^2$ and $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ such that u is not conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to an element of G. The unit has order $7 \cdot 19$.

Note that to all Zassenhaus conjectures $\ensuremath{\textit{metabelian}}$ counterexamples have been constructed.

They are no longer conjectures but still problems for classes of group rings of finite groups.

Note that to all Zassenhaus conjectures **metabelian** counterexamples have been constructed.

They are no longer conjectures but still problems for classes of group rings of finite groups.

But **ZP3** and so all three Zassenhaus conjectures hold for nilpotent groups (A.Weiss 1991) or if $G \cong C \cdot X$ with C and X cyclic of coprime order (A.Valenti 1994, C.Polcino Milies-J.Ritter-S.K.Sehgal 1984).

Note that to all Zassenhaus conjectures **metabelian** counterexamples have been constructed.

They are no longer conjectures but still problems for classes of group rings of finite groups.

But **ZP3** and so all three Zassenhaus conjectures hold for nilpotent groups (A.Weiss 1991) or if $G \cong C \cdot X$ with C and X cyclic of coprime order (A.Valenti 1994, C.Polcino Milies-J.Ritter-S.K.Sehgal 1984).

ZP1 holds if G is cyclic-by-abelian (M.Caicedo, L.Margolis and A.del Rio 2013),

Note that to all Zassenhaus conjectures **metabelian** counterexamples have been constructed.

They are no longer conjectures but still problems for classes of group rings of finite groups.

But **ZP3** and so all three Zassenhaus conjectures hold for nilpotent groups (A.Weiss 1991) or if $G \cong C \cdot X$ with C and X cyclic of coprime order (A.Valenti 1994, C.Polcino Milies-J.Ritter-S.K.Sehgal 1984).

ZP1 holds if G is cyclic-by-abelian (M.Caicedo, L.Margolis and A.del Rio 2013), for all groups of order ≤ 143 (A.Bächle, A.Herman, A.Konovalov, L.Margolis, G.Singh (2017)),

Note that to all Zassenhaus conjectures **metabelian** counterexamples have been constructed.

They are no longer conjectures but still problems for classes of group rings of finite groups.

But **ZP3** and so all three Zassenhaus conjectures hold for nilpotent groups (A.Weiss 1991) or if $G \cong C \cdot X$ with C and X cyclic of coprime order (A.Valenti 1994, C.Polcino Milies-J.Ritter-S.K.Sehgal 1984).

ZP1 holds if G is cyclic-by-abelian (M.Caicedo, L.Margolis and A.del Rio 2013), for all groups of order ≤ 143 (A.Bächle, A.Herman, A.Konovalov, L.Margolis, G.Singh (2017)), for certain PSL(2, q), $q \in \{8, 9, 11, 13, 16, 19, 23, 25, 32\}$ or q a Fermat or Mersenne prime

Note that to all Zassenhaus conjectures **metabelian** counterexamples have been constructed.

They are no longer conjectures but still problems for classes of group rings of finite groups.

But **ZP3** and so all three Zassenhaus conjectures hold for nilpotent groups (A.Weiss 1991) or if $G \cong C \cdot X$ with C and X cyclic of coprime order (A.Valenti 1994, C.Polcino Milies-J.Ritter-S.K.Sehgal 1984).

ZP1 holds if *G* is cyclic-by-abelian (M.Caicedo, L.Margolis and A.del Rio 2013), for all groups of order ≤ 143 (A.Bächle, A.Herman, A.Konovalov, L.Margolis, G.Singh (2017)), for certain PSL(2, q), $q \in \{8, 9, 11, 13, 16, 19, 23, 25, 32\}$ or q a Fermat or Mersenne prime (Luthar-Passi, Hertweck, Ki.-Konovalov, Bächle-Margolis, Margolis-delRio-Serrano)

Open results, questions

• IP for groups of odd order

Are there suitable replacements for ZP 1 and ZP3 ?

Open results, questions

- IP for groups of odd order
- IP for 3-step abelian groups

Are there suitable replacements for ZP 1 and ZP3 ?

Open results, questions

- IP for groups of odd order
- IP for 3-step abelian groups
- IP , ZP2 rsp. for groups with $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ for some prime p.

L.L.Scott (1992) calls it plausible that ZP2 might be valid for such groups and points out that these groups deserve special attention since every finite group is a subdirect product of groups of this form.

Are there suitable replacements for ZP 1 and ZP3 ?

Replacements for ZP1

Several questions have been posed which are weaker than ZP1, e.g. **SP** Does the order of a torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ coincide with the order of a group element of G (the so-called Spectrum question SP) ? **PQ** Have $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and G the same prime graph ?

Replacements for ZP1

Several questions have been posed which are weaker than ZP1, e.g. **SP** Does the order of a torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ coincide with the order of a group element of G (the so-called Spectrum question SP) ? **PQ** Have $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and G the same prime graph ?

Question OG. (Ki. 2007) Given a torsion unit $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Is there a finite group H containing G such that u is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}H$ to an element of G?
Several questions have been posed which are weaker than ZP1, e.g. **SP** Does the order of a torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ coincide with the order of a group element of G (the so-called Spectrum question SP) ? **PQ** Have $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and G the same prime graph ?

Question OG. (Ki. 2007) Given a torsion unit $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Is there a finite group H containing G such that u is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}H$ to an element of G?

Proposition (A.del Rio - L.Margolis 2017)

Let $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be a torsion unit. Consider G in the natural way (acting by multiplication on itself) as a subgroup of the symmetric group S_G of degree |G|. Then the following are equivalent.

Several questions have been posed which are weaker than ZP1, e.g. **SP** Does the order of a torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ coincide with the order of a group element of G (the so-called Spectrum question SP) ? **PQ** Have $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and G the same prime graph ?

Question OG. (Ki. 2007) Given a torsion unit $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Is there a finite group H containing G such that u is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}H$ to an element of G?

Proposition (A.del Rio - L.Margolis 2017)

Let $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be a torsion unit. Consider G in the natural way (acting by multiplication on itself) as a subgroup of the symmetric group S_G of degree |G|. Then the following are equivalent.

• Positive answer to Question OG

Several questions have been posed which are weaker than ZP1, e.g. **SP** Does the order of a torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ coincide with the order of a group element of G (the so-called Spectrum question SP) ? **PQ** Have $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and G the same prime graph ?

Question OG. (Ki. 2007) Given a torsion unit $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Is there a finite group H containing G such that u is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}H$ to an element of G?

Proposition (A.del Rio - L.Margolis 2017)

Let $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be a torsion unit. Consider G in the natural way (acting by multiplication on itself) as a subgroup of the symmetric group S_G of degree |G|. Then the following are equivalent.

- Positive answer to Question OG
- u is conjugate to an element of G in $\mathbb{Q}S_G$.

Several questions have been posed which are weaker than ZP1, e.g. **SP** Does the order of a torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ coincide with the order of a group element of G (the so-called Spectrum question SP) ? **PQ** Have $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and G the same prime graph ?

Question OG. (Ki. 2007) Given a torsion unit $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Is there a finite group H containing G such that u is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}H$ to an element of G?

Proposition (A.del Rio - L.Margolis 2017)

Let $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be a torsion unit. Consider G in the natural way (acting by multiplication on itself) as a subgroup of the symmetric group S_G of degree |G|. Then the following are equivalent.

- Positive answer to Question OG
- u is conjugate to an element of G in $\mathbb{Q}S_G$.
- (Conjecture of A.A.Bovdi 1987) Let $u = \sum_{g \in G} z_g g \in \mathbb{Z}G$. Then for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \neq o(u)$ the coefficients of elements of order m of u sum up to zero , i.e.

$$\sum \qquad z_g = 0.$$

p - elements

For elements of prime power order no counterexample to ZP1 is known.

Theorem (F.Eisele-L.Margolis 2022)

ZP1 holds for units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of prime order p provided a Sylow p - subgroup of G has order p.

Known results on Bovdi's conjecture

Bovdi's conjecture holds provided

• *G* is metabelian. (M.Dokuchaev-S.K.Sehgal 1994)

p - elements

For elements of prime power order no counterexample to ZP1 is known.

Theorem (F.Eisele-L.Margolis 2022)

ZP1 holds for units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of prime order p provided a Sylow p - subgroup of G has order p.

Known results on Bovdi's conjecture

Bovdi's conjecture holds provided

- *G* is metabelian. (M.Dokuchaev-S.K.Sehgal 1994)
- *G* soluble, all Sylow subgroups are abelian and *u* has prime power order. (S.O.Juriaans 1994)

p - elements

For elements of prime power order no counterexample to ZP1 is known.

Theorem (F.Eisele-L.Margolis 2022)

ZP1 holds for units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of prime order p provided a Sylow p - subgroup of G has order p.

Known results on Bovdi's conjecture

Bovdi's conjecture holds provided

- *G* is metabelian. (M.Dokuchaev-S.K.Sehgal 1994)
- G soluble, all Sylow subgroups are abelian and u has prime power order. (S.O.Juriaans 1994)
- G arbitrary , u has prime order p.

Further Results **

The following two results are joint work with A. Bächle and M. Serrano (2019).

Proposition 1 (Bächle, Ki. - Serrano)

Suppose that G has a nilpotent Hall subgroup N such that G/N is abelian. Then there is a group H containing G as subgroup such that ZP1 holds for $\mathbb{Z}H$.

Further Results **

The following two results are joint work with A. Bächle and M. Serrano (2019).

Proposition 1 (Bächle, Ki. - Serrano)

Suppose that G has a nilpotent Hall subgroup N such that G/N is abelian. Then there is a group H containing G as subgroup such that ZP1 holds for $\mathbb{Z}H$.

Note. In the special case when the Hall subgroup is a p-group M.Hertweck showed 2006 that then even ZP1 holds.

Further Results **

The following two results are joint work with A. Bächle and M. Serrano (2019).

Proposition 1 (Bächle, Ki. - Serrano)

Suppose that G has a nilpotent Hall subgroup N such that G/N is abelian. Then there is a group H containing G as subgroup such that ZP1 holds for $\mathbb{Z}H$.

Note. In the special case when the Hall subgroup is a p-group M.Hertweck showed 2006 that then even ZP1 holds.

The statement of Proposition 1 is slightly stronger than an affirmative answer to Question OG.

Proposition 2 (Bächle, Ki., Serrano)

Suppose that G has a normal Sylow p - subgroup P such that Bovdi's conjecture has an affirmative answer for $\mathbb{Z}G/P$. Then it has also an affirmative answer for $\mathbb{Z}G$.

Proposition 2 (Bächle, Ki., Serrano)

Suppose that G has a normal Sylow p - subgroup P such that Bovdi's conjecture has an affirmative answer for $\mathbb{Z}G/P$. Then it has also an affirmative answer for $\mathbb{Z}G$.

It is an immediate corollary of Proposition 2 that Question OG has a positive solution provided G is supersoluble.

Proposition 2 (Bächle, Ki., Serrano)

Suppose that G has a normal Sylow p - subgroup P such that Bovdi's conjecture has an affirmative answer for $\mathbb{Z}G/P$. Then it has also an affirmative answer for $\mathbb{Z}G$.

It is an immediate corollary of Proposition 2 that Question OG has a positive solution provided G is supersoluble. A bit more general we get that it behaves well under supersoluble Hall extensions.

Proposition 2 (Bächle, Ki., Serrano)

Suppose that G has a normal Sylow p - subgroup P such that Bovdi's conjecture has an affirmative answer for $\mathbb{Z}G/P$. Then it has also an affirmative answer for $\mathbb{Z}G$.

It is an immediate corollary of Proposition 2 that Question OG has a positive solution provided G is supersoluble. A bit more general we get that it behaves well under supersoluble Hall extensions.

Corollary

Suppose that G has a supersoluble normal Hall - subgroup H such that Bovdi's conjecture has an affirmative answer for $\mathbb{Z}G/H$. Then it has also an affirmative answer for $\mathbb{Z}G$.

Proposition 2 (Bächle, Ki., Serrano)

Suppose that G has a normal Sylow p - subgroup P such that Bovdi's conjecture has an affirmative answer for $\mathbb{Z}G/P$. Then it has also an affirmative answer for $\mathbb{Z}G$.

It is an immediate corollary of Proposition 2 that Question OG has a positive solution provided G is supersoluble. A bit more general we get that it behaves well under supersoluble Hall extensions.

Corollary

Suppose that G has a supersoluble normal Hall - subgroup H such that Bovdi's conjecture has an affirmative answer for $\mathbb{Z}G/H$. Then it has also an affirmative answer for $\mathbb{Z}G$.

Note. With respect to supersoluble groups ZP1 is still open.

Comparison with the counterexamples to ZP1 **

The counterexamples G of F. Eisele and L. Margolis to ZP1 are metabelian. They have even an abelian normal Hall subgroup A such that G/A is abelian.

Comparison with the counterexamples to ZP1 **

The counterexamples G of F. Eisele and L. Margolis to ZP1 are metabelian. They have even an abelian normal Hall subgroup A such that G/A is abelian.

So the result of M. Dokuchaev and S. K. Sehgal shows that for these groups Bovdi's conjecture holds and Proposition 1 that these groups may be even embedded into larger groups for which ZP1 holds.

Sylow in $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$

A Sylowlike theorem in $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ may have the following form

Let H be a finite p - subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Then H is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a subgroup of G.

So G would determine the finite p - subgroups of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$.

A Sylowlike theorem in $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ may have the following form

Let H be a finite p - subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Then H is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a subgroup of G.

So G would determine the finite p - subgroups of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$.

This is an open question. It may be considered as a replacement of ZP1 and ZP3. Thus it also called p - ZC3.

A Sylowlike theorem in $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ may have the following form

Let H be a finite p - subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Then H is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a subgroup of G.

So G would determine the finite p - subgroups of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$.

This is an open question. It may be considered as a replacement of ZP1 and ZP3. Thus it also called p - ZC3.

Of course one could also try to prove as a first goal weaker statements (so-called weak Sylow like theorems), weak means isomorphism instead of conjugacy

The results of Roggenkamp-Scott and Weiss on $\mathbb{Z}G$ for G a p - group establish a Sylow like theorem in this case. Conjugacy is in this case even given in $\mathbb{Z}_p(G)$.

The results of Roggenkamp-Scott and Weiss on $\mathbb{Z}G$ for G a p - group establish a Sylow like theorem in this case. Conjugacy is in this case even given in $\mathbb{Z}_p(G)$.

Is there any chance to get a similar result over modular group algebras ?

The results of Roggenkamp-Scott and Weiss on $\mathbb{Z}G$ for G a p - group establish a Sylow like theorem in this case. Conjugacy is in this case even given in $\mathbb{Z}_p(G)$.

Is there any chance to get a similar result over modular group algebras ?

It was a long standing question (the so-called modular isomorphism problem) whether the modular group algebra of a p - group over F_p determines the group up to isomorphism. This has been recently solved.

The results of Roggenkamp-Scott and Weiss on $\mathbb{Z}G$ for G a p - group establish a Sylow like theorem in this case. Conjugacy is in this case even given in $\mathbb{Z}_p(G)$.

Is there any chance to get a similar result over modular group algebras ?

It was a long standing question (the so-called modular isomorphism problem) whether the modular group algebra of a p - group over F_p determines the group up to isomorphism. This has been recently solved.

Theorem (D.Garcia-Lucas, L.Margolis, A.del Rio 2021)

There are non-isomorphic groups of order 2^9 such that their group algebras over the field of two elements 2 are isomorphic.

In particular there are 2-blocks which do not determine their defect group up to isomorphism.

A Sylowlike theorem holds for $V(\mathbb{Z} G)$ provided

A Sylowlike theorem holds for $V(\mathbb{Z} G)$ provided

- G is nilpotent-by-nilpotent (M. Dokuchaev-S. O. Juriaans 1996)
- *G* is a Frobenius group (M.Dokuchaev, S.O,Juriaans, C.Polcino Milies,V.Bovdi, M.Hertweck, L.Margolis and Ki. , between 1996 and 2017)

A Sylowlike theorem holds for $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ provided

- G is nilpotent-by-nilpotent (M. Dokuchaev-S. O. Juriaans 1996)
- G is a Frobenius group (M.Dokuchaev, S.O,Juriaans, C.Polcino Milies,V.Bovdi, M.Hertweck, L.Margolis and Ki., between 1996 and 2017) and with respect to specific primes, if
 - $G/O_{p'}(G)$ has a normal Sylow p subgroup (A. Weiss 1993)
 - $G = PSL(2, r^f)$ if $p \neq r$ or p = r = 2 or f = 1. (M.Hertweck C.Höfert Ki. 2009, L.Margolis 2016)

A Sylowlike theorem holds for $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ provided

- G is nilpotent-by-nilpotent (M. Dokuchaev-S. O. Juriaans 1996)
- G is a Frobenius group (M.Dokuchaev, S.O,Juriaans, C.Polcino Milies,V.Bovdi, M.Hertweck, L.Margolis and Ki., between 1996 and 2017) and with respect to specific primes, if
 - $G/O_{p'}(G)$ has a normal Sylow p subgroup (A. Weiss 1993)
 - $G = PSL(2, r^f)$ if $p \neq r$ or p = r = 2 or f = 1. (M.Hertweck C.Höfert Ki. 2009, L.Margolis 2016)

A weak Sylowlike theorem holds, if

- *G* has cyclic Sylow p subgroups. (Ki. for p=2 2007, Hertweck for p odd 2008)
- 2 subgroups of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are isomorphic to subgroups of G if Sylow 2 subgroups of G are abelian, quaternion or dihedral. (Bächle-Ki. 2011, Ki. 2015, Margolis 2017)

Characters and Sylow numbers **

For a finite group H denote by X(H) its ordinary character table and by Spec(H) its spectral table, i.e. the character table including the head line.

Question G.Navarro 2003

Let G and U be finite groups with the same ordinary character table, i.e. X(G)=X(U). Do for each prime p the number of Sylow subgroups coincide, i.e. $n_p(G)=n_p(U)$?

Note that $\mathbb{Z}G \cong \mathbb{Z}U \Longrightarrow Spec(G) = Spec(U) \Longrightarrow X(G) = X(H).$

Characters and Sylow numbers **

For a finite group H denote by X(H) its ordinary character table and by Spec(H) its spectral table, i.e. the character table including the head line.

Question G.Navarro 2003

Let G and U be finite groups with the same ordinary character table, i.e. X(G)=X(U). Do for each prime p the number of Sylow subgroups coincide, i.e. $n_p(G)=n_p(U)$?

Note that $\mathbb{Z}G \cong \mathbb{Z}U \Longrightarrow Spec(G) = Spec(U) \Longrightarrow X(G) = X(H)$. Thus results concerning character tables yield results for group rings.

Sylow for group bases of $\mathbb{Z}G$ **

So it is a natural question to consider Sylow like theorems between group bases of integral group rings.

Question Sylow for group bases

Let U be a finite p - subgroup of a group basis X of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Is U conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a subgroup of G? Have G and X the same number of Sylow p - subgroups ? Properties reflected by character tables **

The ordinary character table X(G) determines

• the normal subgroup lattice of G (G.Glauberman)

The ordinary character table does not determine the orders of the representatives of the conjugacy classes but the primes dividing the order of a representative (G.Higman).

Properties reflected by character tables **

The ordinary character table X(G) determines

- the normal subgroup lattice of G (G.Glauberman)
- the chief series of G (Ki. 1989)

The ordinary character table does not determine the orders of the representatives of the conjugacy classes but the primes dividing the order of a representative (G.Higman).

Properties reflected by character tables **

The ordinary character table X(G) determines

- the normal subgroup lattice of G (G.Glauberman)
- the chief series of G (Ki. 1989)
- whether G has abelian Sylow subgroups and if so their isomorphism type (Ki. and R.Sandling 1989)

The ordinary character table does not determine the orders of the representatives of the conjugacy classes but the primes dividing the order of a representative (G.Higman).

Some results on Sylow numbers **

G.Navarro and N.Rizo 2017

If G is p - soluble then

$$Spec(G) = Spec(H) \Longrightarrow n_p(G) = n_p(H).$$

Ki. and I.Köster 2017

If G is nilpotent-by-nilpotent or if G is a Frobenius group, then

$$X(G) = X(H) \Longrightarrow n_p(G) = n_p(H) \forall p.$$

Sylow for group bases ctd **

As one may expect with respect to integral group rings more is known

Theorem

Let G be a p - constrained group and let q be a prime not dividing $O_{p'}(G)$. Let X be a group basis of $\mathbb{Z}G$.

- a) A q subgroup U of X is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$ to a subgroup of G (Ki.-Roggenkamp 1993).
- b) $n_p(G) = n_p(X) \forall p$ (Ki.-Köster 2017).

Part a) is an application of the F^* - theorem.

p - soluble groups are p - constrained.

Thus a Sylow like theorem for group bases holds for integral group rings of finite soluble groups.
Thank you for your attention