## Some problems on modular group algebras

Diego García-Lucas

Universidad de Murcia, Spain

Groups and their actions Levico Terme, 2024

Grant PID2020-113206GB-I00 funded by



# Tensor factorizations

*k* field. *A k*-algebra.

## Tensor factorizations

► k field.

#### Definition

A has the tensor Krull-Schmidt property if whenever

• 
$$A \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^n A_i \cong \bigotimes_{j=1}^m B_j$$
, and

each of the A<sub>i</sub>'s and B<sub>j</sub>'s is tensor indecomposable,

then n = m and  $A_i \cong B_i$  after (possibly) rearranging the indices.

### Tensor factorizations

► k field.

#### Definition

A has the tensor Krull-Schmidt property if whenever

• 
$$A \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^n A_i \cong \bigotimes_{j=1}^m B_j$$
, and

each of the A<sub>i</sub>'s and B<sub>j</sub>'s is tensor indecomposable,

then n = m and  $A_i \cong B_i$  after (possibly) rearranging the indices.

This is not the case in general:

$$\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{H}\cong\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}M_2(\mathbb{R}),$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

where  $\mathbb{H}$  is the ring of real quaternions.

There are no examples of local algebras for which the tensor Krull-Schmidt property fails.

There are no examples of local algebras for which the tensor Krull-Schmidt property fails.

• Let  $\mathbb{L}_k$  be the class of local augmented *k*-algebras.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

#### Theorem (Horst, 1987)

Suppose that

- 1. char(k) = 0,
- 2.  $N \otimes R \cong N \otimes S$  in  $\mathbb{L}_k$ , and

3. *R* is noetherian and *N* artinan. Then  $R \cong S$ .

Theorem (Horst, 1987) Suppose that

- 1. char(k) = 0,
- 2.  $A \in \mathbb{L}_k$  is noetherian.

Then there is a decomposition

$$A\cong B\otimes A_1\otimes\cdots\otimes A_n$$

such that

1. each A<sub>i</sub> is tensor indecomposable, and

2. B has no artinian tensor factors.

Moreover, this decomposition is unique up to isomorphism and reordering.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Theorem (Horst, 1987) Suppose that

- 1. char(k) = 0,
- 2.  $A \in \mathbb{L}_k$  is noetherian.

Then there is a decomposition

$$A\cong B\otimes A_1\otimes\cdots\otimes A_n$$

such that

- 1. each A<sub>i</sub> is tensor indecomposable, and
- 2. B has no artinian tensor factors.

Moreover, this decomposition is unique up to isomorphism and reordering.

No analogue is known when char(p) > 0.

In 1995 Carson and Kovacs addressed this problem restricted to group rings.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- k field of characteristic p,
- ► *G* finite *p*-group.

In 1995 Carson and Kovacs addressed this problem restricted to group rings.

- k field of characteristic p,
- ► *G* finite *p*-group.

Observe that

 $\{\text{group rings over } k\} \cap \mathbb{L}_k = p\text{-group rings over } k$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

In 1995 Carson and Kovacs addressed this problem restricted to group rings.

- k field of characteristic p,
- ► *G* finite *p*-group.

Observe that

 $\{\text{group rings over } k\} \cap \mathbb{L}_k = p\text{-group rings over } k$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

#### Question

Does kG have the Krull-Schmidt property in  $\mathbb{L}_k$ ?

Tensor factorizations of local commutative group algebras

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Theorem (Carlson-Kovacs, 1995)

Suppose that

- 1. G abelian finite p-group, and
- 2.  $kG = A_1 \otimes A_2$ .

Then  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  such that  $A_i \cong kG_i$  for each *i*.

Tensor factorizations of local commutative group algebras

Theorem (Carlson-Kovacs, 1995)

Suppose that

- 1. G abelian finite p-group, and
- 2.  $kG = A_1 \otimes A_2$ .

Then  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  such that  $A_i \cong kG_i$  for each *i*.

This implies that kG, if G is abelian, has the tensor Krull-Schmidt property.

Tensor factorizations of local commutative group algebras

Theorem (Carlson-Kovacs, 1995)

Suppose that

- 1. G abelian finite p-group, and
- 2.  $kG = A_1 \otimes A_2$ .

Then  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  such that  $A_i \cong kG_i$  for each *i*.

This implies that kG, if G is abelian, has the tensor Krull-Schmidt property.

Let's drop the commutativity assumption.

Question

Does every tensor factorization of kG come from a direct decomposition of G?

Let's drop the commutativity assumption.

Question

Does every tensor factorization of kG come from a direct decomposition of G?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Does every directly indecomposable group have tensor indecomposable group algebra over k? Tensor factorizations with a commutative factor

Does every tensor factorization of kG come from a direct decomposition of G?

Theorem (GL-del Río-Sakurai, in progress) *Suppose that* 

1.  $\mathbb{F}_p G = A_1 \otimes A_2$ , and

2.  $A_1$  is commutative.

Then  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  and  $A_i \cong \mathbb{F}_p G_i$  for each *i*.

Tensor factorizations with a commutative factor

Does every tensor factorization of kG come from a direct decomposition of G?

Theorem (GL-del Río-Sakurai, in progress) *Suppose that* 

1.  $\mathbb{F}_p G = A_1 \otimes A_2$ , and

2.  $A_1$  is commutative.

Then  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  and  $A_i \cong \mathbb{F}_p G_i$  for each *i*.

This implies that  $\mathbb{F}_p G$  admits a unique decomposition  $A_1 \otimes A_2$ with  $A_1$  commutative and  $A_2$  without commutative tensor factors.

### Tensor indecomposability

Does every directly indecomposable group have tensor indecomposable group algebra over *k*?

## Tensor indecomposability

Does every directly indecomposable group have tensor indecomposable group algebra over k?

Carlson and Kovacs consider this last question in their paper, providing a positive answer if

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- either G is abelian,
- ▶ or *G* has order 8.

## Tensor indecomposability

Does every directly indecomposable group have tensor indecomposable group algebra over *k*?

Carlson and Kovacs consider this last question in their paper, providing a positive answer if

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- either G is abelian,
- or G has order 8.

#### Corollary (GL, del Río, Sakurai)

Suppose that G is indecomposable and

- either G can be generated by 3 elements, or
- ► G' is cyclic.

Then  $\mathbb{F}_p G$  is indecomposable.

Question

What information about G can be recovered from kG?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Question

What information about G can be recovered from kG? And how?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Question

What information about G can be recovered from kG? And how?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Question (Modular Isomorphism Problem) Can the isomorphism type of G be recovered from  $\mathbb{F}_pG$ ?

#### Question

What information about G can be recovered from kG? And how?

## Question (Modular Isomorphism Problem)

Can the isomorphism type of G be recovered from  $\mathbb{F}_pG$ ? Answer:

- If p = 2, it can't (GL-Margolis-del Río, 2022).
- If p > 2, we do not know.

Question (Modular Isomorphism Problem) Can the isomorphism type of G be recovered from  $\mathbb{F}_pG$ ?

Yes, provided that one of the following holds:

► G is abelian (Deskins, 1956).

...

► G is metacyclic (Bagiński 1988, Sandling 1996).

•  $\gamma_2(G)^p \gamma_3(G) = 1$  (Sandling, 1989).

Question (Modular Isomorphism Problem) Can the isomorphism type of G be recovered from  $\mathbb{F}_pG$ ?

Yes, provided that one of the following holds:

► G is abelian (Deskins, 1956).

...

► G is metacyclic (Bagiński 1988, Sandling 1996).

•  $\gamma_2(G)^p \gamma_3(G) = 1$  (Sandling, 1989).

#### Theorem (GL-Brenner)

Suppose that

- ▶ *p* > 2 and
- $\blacktriangleright |G: \mathsf{Z}(G)| \leq p^3.$

Then the isomorphism type of G can be recovered from  $\mathbb{F}_pG$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

#### Theorem (GL-Brenner)

Suppose that

 $|G: \mathsf{Z}(G)| \leq p^3.$ 

Then the isomorphism type of G can be recovered from  $\mathbb{F}_pG$ .

## Theorem (GL-del Río)

Suppose that

- ▶ p > 2,
- G can be generated by 2 elements,
- G' is cyclic, and
- either  $|G'| \leq p^3$  or  $|\gamma_3(G)| \leq p$ .

Then the isomorphism type of G is determined by  $\mathbb{F}_pG$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

### Theorem (GL-Brenner)

Suppose that

$$|G: \mathsf{Z}(G)| \le p^3.$$

Then the isomorphism type of G can be recovered from  $\mathbb{F}_pG$ .

## Theorem (GL-del Río)

Suppose that

- ▶ p > 2,
- G can be generated by 2 elements,
- G' is cyclic, and
- either  $|G'| \leq p^3$  or  $|\gamma_3(G)| \leq p$ .

Then the isomorphism type of G is determined by  $\mathbb{F}_pG$ .

These results both fail when p = 2.

Thanks for your attention.